

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,613	05/18/2004	Timo Schirmer	GEMS8081.215	3612
27061	7590 03/30/2006		EXAMINER	
ZIOLKOWSKI PATENT SOLUTIONS GROUP, SC (GEMS) 14135 NORTH CEDARBURG ROAD MEQUON, WI 53097			FETZNER, TIFFANY A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2859	
			DATE MAILED: 03/30/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
	10/709,613	SCHIRMER, TIMO Art Unit	(Pru)
3 \$ \$ 18 1 18 1 8 \$ 1 1 18 8	Diego Gutierrez	2859	
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEC		

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for R	eview filed March 20, 2006.
1. Improper Request – The Request is improper reason(s):	and a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed cond ☐ The request does not include reasons why a ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the ☐ Other: . 	review is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run the mail date of the last Office communication, if no N	from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appeal because is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance while brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this crunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which	there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal lecision, or the balance of the two-month time period hever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the lupon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
The panel has determined the status of the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	claim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits rapplicant at this time.	•
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by	· ·
All participants:	
(1) <u>Diego Gutierrez</u> .	(3) <u>Christine Oda</u> . <i>Q</i>
(2) Tiffany Fetzner. TC	(4)